

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVI	ENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/874,376	06/06/2001	Robert A.H. Bru	ınet	13202.00302	2720
27160 7	590 11/21/2002				
PATENT ADMINSTRATOR				EXAM	AMINER
KATTEN MUC 525 WEST MC	N		SORKIN, DAVID L		
SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL	60661-3693			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•				1723	5
				DATE MAILED: 11/21/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,			(1)		
6	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/874,376	BRUNET ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	,		
	David L. Sorkin	1723	• •		
Th MAILING DATE of this communication ap		with the corr spond nc add	lress		
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may oly within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) Note, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely, IONTHS from the mailing date of this con ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09</u>	October 2001 .				
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			e merits is		
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> is/are pending in the application	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) $\underline{1-51}$ are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	• •				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
Certified copies of the priority document					
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		·· —			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Stage		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes			,		
Attachment(s)	· •				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s of Informal Patent Application (PTC			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Art Unit: 1723

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-49, drawn to a mixer with angular surfaces, classified in class
 366, subclass 337, and combinations therewith.
 - II. Claims 50 and 51, drawn to methods of mixing, classified in class 366, subclass 348.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus could be used to mix a fluid which does not have a direction of flow, for example by rotating the mixer in a fluid containing vessel. Also the mixer could be used to agitate solids such as grain, soil, etc.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

•

Application/Control Number: 09/874,376

Art Unit: 1723

5. Upon election of either Group I or Group II, applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species form amongst the 13 embodiments of Figs. 4-16 (each of these figures being a different embodiment) for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1723

Page 4

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David L. Sorkin whose telephone number is 703-308-1121. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 -5:30 Mon.-Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L. Walker can be reached on 703-308-0457. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

David Sorkin

November 18, 2002

CHARLES E. COOLEY
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Physles